

The Audit Plan for West Mercia Energy Joint Committee

Year ended 31 March 2016

29th February 2016

Richard Percival
Associate Director
T 0121 232 5434
E richard.d.percial@uk.gt.com

Jim McLarnon
Assistant Manager
T 0121 232 5219
E james.a.mclarnon@uk.gt.com




The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Joint Committee or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
1. Our audit approach	4
2. Significant risks identified	5
3. Other risks	6
4. Results of interim work	7
5. Key dates	8
6. Fees and independence	9
7. Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance	10

Our audit approach



Significant risks identified

'Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty' (ISA 315).

In this section we outline the significant risks of material misstatement which we have identified. There are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards (International Standards on Auditing – ISAs) which are listed below:

Significant risk	Description	Substantive audit procedures
The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.	Work planned: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review and testing of revenue recognition policies.• Testing material revenue streams.
Management over-ride of controls	Under ISA 240 the presumption that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.	Work planned: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review of accounting estimates, judgments and decisions made by management.• Updating of our understanding of the journals control environment and testing of journal entries.• Review of unusual significant transactions.

Other risks identified

The auditor should evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the entity's controls, including relevant control activities, over those risks for which, in the auditor's judgment, it is not possible or practicable to reduce the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level to an acceptably low level with audit evidence obtained only from substantive procedures (ISA 315).

In this section we outline the other risks of material misstatement which we have identified as a result of our planning.


Other risks	Description	Audit Approach
Turnover – Utility Revenue	Existence/Occurrence Contract accounting not consistent with terms.	<p>Work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will document the processes and controls in place around the accounting for Turnover – Utility Revenue and carry out walkthrough tests to confirm operation of controls. Tests of detail on utility revenue included in the financial statements including testing on a sample of utility revenue transactions.
Cost of Goods Sold – Utility Expenditure	Valuation – Gross. Costs not accounted for property.	<p>Work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will document the processes and controls in place around the accounting for Cost of Goods Sold – Utility Expenditure and carry out walkthrough tests to confirm operation of controls. Tests of detail on utility expenditure included in the financial statements including testing on a sample of utility expenditure transactions.
Cost of Goods Sold – Utility Expenditure	Valuation – Net. Activity variation adjustments to expenditures not correct.	<p>Work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will document the processes and controls in place around the accounting for Cost of Goods Sold – Utility Expenditure and carry out walkthrough tests to confirm operation of controls. Tests of detail on utility expenditure included in the financial statements including testing on a sample of utility expenditure transactions.

Results of interim audit work

The findings of our interim audit work, and the impact of our findings on the accounts audit approach, are summarised in the table below:

	Work performed and findings	Conclusion
Internal audit	<p>We have undertaken a high level review of Internal Audit's overall arrangements. Our work has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention.</p> <p>We have reviewed the plan of work for Internal audit to identify areas where there may be potential for us to rely on Internal Audit work.</p> <p>We also reviewed internal audit's work on the Joint Committee' key financial systems to date. We have not identified any significant weaknesses impacting on our responsibilities.</p>	<p>Overall, we have concluded that the internal audit service continues to provide an independent and satisfactory service to the Joint Committee and that internal audit work contributes to an effective internal control environment at the Committee. Our review of internal audit work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.</p>
Walkthrough testing	<p>We are completing walkthrough tests of controls operating in areas where we consider that there is a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.</p> <p>Our work to date has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention. Internal controls have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding.</p>	<p>Our work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.</p>
Entity level controls	<p>We have obtained an understanding of the overall control environment relevant to the preparation of the financial statements including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication and enforcement of integrity and ethical values • Commitment to competence • Participation by those charged with governance • Management's philosophy and operating style • Organisational structure • Assignment of authority and responsibility • Human resource policies and practices 	<p>Our work has not identified any material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Joint Committees financial statements.</p>

Key dates



Date	Activity
January 2016	Planning
February 2016	Interim site visit
February 2016	Presentation of audit plan to the Joint Committee
August 2016	Year end fieldwork
August 2016	Audit findings clearance meeting with Director of Finance
September 2016	Report audit findings to the Joint Committee
September 2016	Sign financial statements opinion

Fees and independence

Fees

	£
Joint Committee audit	13,000
Total fees (excluding VAT)	13,000

Our fee assumptions include:

- Supporting schedules to all figures in the accounts are supplied by the agreed dates and in accordance with the agreed upon information request list
- The scope of the audit, and the Joint Committee and its activities, have not changed significantly
- The Joint Committee will make available management and accounting staff to help us locate information and to provide explanations

Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
None	Nil

Fees for other services

Fees for other services reflect those agreed at the time of issuing our Audit Plan. Any changes will be reported in our Audit Findings Report and Annual Audit Letter.

Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Full details of all fees charged for audit and non-audit services will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirement of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

This document, The Audit Plan, outlines our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while The Audit Findings will be issued prior to approval of the financial statements and will present key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

We will communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via a report to the Joint Committee.

Respective responsibilities

This plan has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission (www.audit-commission.gov.uk).

We have been appointed as the Joint Committee's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the Audit Commission and includes nationally prescribed and locally determined work. Our work considers the Joint Committee's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Joint Committee to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Joint Committee is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our communication plan	Audit plan	Audit findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence.	✓	✓
Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged.		
Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence		
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓



© 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk